Northern District of California United States District Court

FILED

FEB U 6 2017

SUSAN Y. SOONG CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SANFORD S. WADLER, Plaintiff,

Case No.15-cv-02356-JCS

BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

FINAL VERDICT FORM

Dated: February 3, 2017

JOSEPH C. SPERO United States Magistrate Judge

	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
}	12	
	13	
5	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	22	

2	I. RETALIATION IN VIOLATION OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY AND DODD- FRANK ACTS—DEFENDANTS BIO-RAD AND NORMAN SCHWARTZ
3	1. Did Mr. Wadler engage in protected activity under the Sarbanes Oxley Act?
4	Answer: Yes No
5	If your answer to Question 1 is "yes," proceed to Question 2. If your answer to Question 1 is "no," stop here, answer no further questions, and have the jury foreperson sign and date this verdict form.
7	
8	2. Were the circumstances sufficient to raise an inference that Mr. Wadler's engaging in this activity was a contributing factor in Norman Schwartz and Bio-Rad's termination of Mr. Wadler?
9	Answer:No
10 11	If your answer to Question 2 is "yes," proceed to Question 3. If your answer to Question 2 is "no," stop here, answer no further questions, and have the jury foreperson sign and date this verdict form.
12	3. Did Bio-Rad and Norman Schwartz prove by clear and convincing evidence that they would have
13	terminated Mr. Wadler at the same time based on wholly legitimate reasons even if Mr. Wadler had not engaged in protected activity?
14	Answer:YesNo
15	Proceed to Question 4.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

II. WRONGFUL DISCHARGE IN VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY—DEFENDANT BIO-RAD

4. Was Mr Wadler's engaging in protected activity under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act a substantial motivating reason for Bio-Rad's discharge of Mr. Wadler?

Answer: Yes No

If your answer to Question 4 is "yes," proceed to Question 5. If your answer to Question 4 is "no," but you answered "yes" to Question 2 and "no" to Question 3, proceed to Question 6. Otherwise, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the jury foreperson sign and date this verdict form.

5. Did the discharge cause Mr. Wadler harm?

Answer: Yes No

If you answered "yes" to Question 2 and "no" to Question 3, or if you answered "yes" to Question 5, proceed to Question 6. Otherwise, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the jury foreperson sign and date this verdict form.

11			
1	IV.	PU	NITIVE DAMAGES—DEFENDANT BIO-RAD
			endant Bio-Rad engage in the conduct upon which you base your wrongful termination in f public policy claim with malice, oppression, or fraud?
	Answe	r: _	No
			wer to Question 9 is "yes," then answer Question 10. If you answered "no," stop here, further questions, and have the jury foreperson sign and date this verdict form.
	0. Wh	- 1	mount of punitive damages do you award against Defendant Bio-Rad as a result of his n?
	Answe	r: \$	5,000,000
,	When y	ou	have finished, have the jury foreperson sign and date this verdict form.
H [Dated: By: NAME PRESID	:]	SUROR
- 11	-		Verdict Form has been completed and signed, notify the courtroom deputy that you are resent your verdict in the courtroom.